CAPACITY PREDICTION OF TWO-LANE TWO-WAY ROAD SEGMENT AT TOKARAWA KANO-HADEJIA HIGHWAY

YAMINU, OJEIFO ABDULLAHI SPS/14/MCE/00006 M.ENG CIVIL

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING BAYERO UNIVERSITY, KANO.

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF MASTER OF ENGINEERING DEGREE IN HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION.

OCTOBER, 2018.

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this work is the product of my own research efforts; undertaken under the supervision and guidance of Prof. Hashim M. Alhassan and has not been presented and will not be presented elsewhere for the award of a degree or certificate. Author's whose work has been referred to; in this dissertation has been duly acknowledged accordingly.

YAMINU, OJEIFO ABDULLAHI SPS/14/MCE/00006

DATE

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the research work for this dissertat	tion and the subsequent
preparation were carried out by (Yaminu, Ojeifo Abdullah	i (SPS/14/MCE/00006))
under my supervision.	
Prof. Hashim M. Alhassan Supervisor	DATE
Dr. M.O.A.Mtallib Head of Department	DATE

APPROVAL PAGE

This is to certify that this research study has been examined and approved for the
award of MASTERS IN CIVIL ENGINEERING Department of Bayero University
Kano.

Prof. AdekunleTaiwoOlowosulu External Examiner	DATE
Dr. M. N. Ibrahim Internal Examiner	DATE
Prof. Hashim M. Alhassan Supervisor	DATE
Dr. M.O.A Mtallib Head of Department	DATE
Dean School of Post Graduate Studies	DATE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I offered my profound gratitude to Almighty Allah, the truth and most perfect in Knowledge and wisdom, for his illimitable power and guidance over me throughout my programme and for enabling me to carry out this research. May all glory be ascribed to our noble Prophet Mohammed(S.A.W)

I take this opportunity to express my deepest sense of gratitude to my supervisor Professor Hashim M. Alhassan for his able guidance during this research work. This study effort would not have been possible without his help and valuable time that he has given to me amidst his busy schedule. He was always available from inception of the study, data collection period to the final moment for assistance, criticism and correction.

I also thank Dr. M. N. Ibrahim of the department of civil engineering Bayero University Kano for his advice and guidance during the initial stage of the work. My sincere appreciation goes to all other staff, lecturers for their contribution in the course of the post graduate program. To the survey team, road safety personnel and other security personnel, thank you all for your contribution and assistance during data collection.

My very special thanks go to my dear wife, lovely children, whose continued love and patience especially during my busy schedules, made this work a reality. Finally; the list of persons who contributed and supported me throughout the period of this work is long. My gratitude for their contributions is in no way reduced by the fact that there is insufficient space for them to be mentioned by name. And therefore, to all those whom I did not mention by name but in one way or another passed on to me some of their knowledge and skills about this study, I say "THANK YOU ALL."

DEDICATION

To my parents: my loving wife, and my children. "That my children may be inspired and know the value of education." Also this work is dedicated to road traffic administrators over the world whose good management of road facility led to its sustainability.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	11
Certification	iii
Approval page	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Dedication	vi
Table of Contents	vii
Abstract	xii
List of Tables	xvi -xvii
List of Figures	xviii-xix
List of Plates	xx
List of Abbreviations	xxi- xxii

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Preamble	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Aim and Objectives	4
1.3.1 Aim	4
1.3.2 Objectives	4
1.4 Scope of Study	4
1.5 Significance of the Research	4
CHAPTER TWO	
LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Preamble	6
2.2 Traffic Stream Characteristics	6
2.2.1 Traffic growth	6
2.2.2 Traffic composition	7
2.3 Flow Rate	8
2.4 Speed	9
2.4.1 Time mean speed (spot speed)	10
2.4.2 Space mean speed	10
2.4.3 Relationship between time mean speed and space mean speed	11
2.4.4 Average running speed	12

2.4.5 Average travel speed (journey spe	ed)	12
2.4.6 Free-flow speed		13
2.4.7 85 th Percentile speed		13
2.4.8 Posted speed		14
2.5 Density		14
2.6 Headway		15
2.6.1 Time headway		15
2.6.2 Space headway		15
2.6.3 Gap		15
2.6.4 Clear spacing		16
2.7Traffic Flow Models		16
2.7.1 Speed-flow-density relationships .		17
2.7.2 Speed-flow-density diagrams		19
2.7.3 Speed-density diagram		21
2.7.4 Speed-flow diagram		22
2.7.5 Shapes of traffic flow fundamental	relation.	23
2.7.6Scatter in traffic flow fundamental	relation	23
2.7.7 Properties and requirements of traf	fic flow fundamental diagram	24
2.8 Traffic Volume		25
2.8.1 Types of traffic volumes		26
Average annual daily traffic (AADT)		26
Average daily traffic (ADT)		26
Peak hour volume (PHV)		26
Vehicle kilometre of travel (VKT)		26
2.8.2 Traffic volume fluctuation		27

2.8.3 Design hourly volume (DHV)	27
2.8.4 Directional distribution	28
2.9Highway Capacity Manual	29
2.9.1 Historical review	29
2.9.2HCM 1950	29
2.9.3HCM 1965	29
2.9.4HCM 1985	30
2.9.5HCM 2000	31
2.9.6HCM 2010	32
2.10Highway Capacity	34
2.10.1 Capacity definition	34
2.10.2 Capacity estimation methods	34
Headway method.	35
Capacity by observed volume methods	36
Capacity estimation using fundamental diagram	37
2.10.3 Highway capacity and influencing factors	38
2.11Passenger Car Unit (PCU) or Passenger Car Equivalent (PCE)	39
2.11.1 Limitations of FMW highway manual and HCM PCE values	40
2.11.2 Historical background of PCE development	41
2.11.3 Methods for PCE determination	43
HCM methods	43
Methods based on delays	43
Methods based on platoon formation	44
Methods based on equal density	44
Simulation methods	45

Methods based on vehicle hours	45
Methods based on travel time	45
Methods based on speeds	46
Methods based on headway	46
2.12Vehicle Classifications	47
CHAPTER THREE	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1 Materials	51
3.1.1 Personnel	51
3.1.2 Road pavement marking	51
3.1.3Resources for road marking	52
3.2Equipment Requirement	53
3.2.1 Automatic traffic counter (ATC)	53
3.2.2 Roadtubes	54
3.3 Methods	54
3.3.1 Site selection	54
3.3.2 Road reconnaissance survey	55
3.3.3 Road sampling	55
3.3.4 Criteria for road selection	55
3.3.5Equipment location: Kano-Hadejia road	56
3.3.6 Characteristics of selected sites.	57
3.4 Data Collection.	57
3.4.1 Overview.	57
3.4.2Installation of equipment and tubes.	58
3.4.3 Overview of classifier system.	58

3.4.4 Installation of the tubes.	58
3.5Road Side Unit Operating System	58
3.5.1Layout of typical survey site	59
3.5.2 Classification analysis layout and setup	59
3.6 Downloading Data	60
3.6.1RSU setup	61
3.7Challenges with Setup and Data Collections	61
3.8Civil Twilight Time.	62
3.9Vehicle Classification	62
CHAPTER FOUR	
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
4.1 Preliminary Findings	64
4.1.1 Observed traffic	64
4.1.2 Observed traffic aggregation.	64
4.1.3Aggregation of traffic by vehicle class	65
4.1.4 Peaking characteristics	67
4.1.5Speed profile from the survey	68
4.2Flow-Density Fundamental Diagram	70
4.2.1 Overview	70
4.2.2Fundamental variables.	73
4.2.3 Observedmacroscopic data	75
4.2.4 Plots of flow-density and speed-density curves	75
4.2.5 Flow – density curves	75
4.2.6 Speed – density curves	76
4.2.7 Highway capacity analysis using fundamental diagram approach	81

4.3Discussion of Results of Observed Traffic Data at Capacity with Static PCE	83
4.4 Dynamic PCE Values.	84
4.5 PCE Analysis by Headway Method	85
4.5.1Implication of PCE values on traffic at the study sites.	86
4.6 Analysis for Traffic Variables under Dynamic PCE	87
4.6.1 Flow-density curves under dynamic PCE conditions.	89
4.6.2Speed-density curves under dynamic PCE conditions.	90
4.6.3Computation of traffic variables with dynamic PCE.	94
4.6.4Discussion of results atcapacity under dynamic PCE.	95
4.6.5Comparative analysis of results.	97
CHAPTER FIVE	
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.0 Conclusions	. 100
5.1 Recommendations.	. 101
REFERENCES 102	-108
APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: EquivalentPassenger Car Units Adopted For Nigeria Roads	8
Table 3.1: Personnel and Functions	51
Table 3.2: Showing Resources for Road Marking	52
Table 3.3: Characteristics of Selected Site.	57
Table 4.1: Observed Traffic State.	64
Table 4.2: Summary of Traffic Volume by Vehicle Classes for the Survey	65
Table 4.3: Peak Characteristics for Survey Sites Weekdays.	67
Table 4.4: Weekdays Macroscopic Traffic Data at The Study LocationWith	Static
PCE (Truncated) Continued From Appendix-B.	74
Table 4.5: Summary of Traffic Parameters with Static PCE at Capacity	83
Table 4.6: Summary of Dynamic PCE Values Used In the Study	86
Table 4.7: Comparisonof Static PCEValues and Dynamic PCE Values	87
Table 4.8: Shows Truncated Weekday Estimated Macroscopic Variables for S	ite 1&
2with Dynamic PCE (Cont'd In Appendix D)	88
Table 4.9: Traffic Parameters at Capacity with Dynamic PCE.	95
Table 4.10: Comparison of Result of Traffic Variables	97

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Flow-Density Curve.	20
Figure 2.2: Speed –Density Curve	21
Figure 2.3: Speed –Flow Curve.	22
Figure: 2.4: Relationship between ADT and Hourly Volume	28
Figure: 2.5: FHWA Scheme.	50
Figure: 3.1: Layout of the Road at the Location	56
Figure: 3.2: ATC Operating System.	59
Figure: 4.1: Traffic Composition for Site 1 (weekdays)	66
Figure: 4.2: Traffic Composition for Site2 (weekdays)	66
Figure: 4.3: Speed Profile for Weekday's Site 1.	68
Figure: 4.4: Speed Profile for Weekday's Site 2.	69
Figure: 4.5: Flow vs. Density Plots for Yankaba-Tokarawa Road Segment	71
Figure: 4.6: Flow-Density Relationship for Yankaba-Tokarawa Road Segment	72
Figure 4.7: Flow-rate versus Density Curve for Site 1 (weekdays')	76
Figure 4.8: Speed vs. Density Relationship for Weekdays'Site 1	77
Figure 4.9: Flow-rate versus Density Curve for Weekdays'Site 2	78
Figure 4.10: Speed versus Density Relationship for Weekday's Site 2.	78
Figure 4.11: Flow-rate versus Density Curve for Weekends'Site 1	79
Figure 4.12: Speed versus Density Relationship for Weekends'Site 1	79
Figure 4.13: Flow-Rate versus Density Curve for Weekends' Site 2	80
Figure 4.14: Speed Versus Density Curve for Weekend's Site 2	80

Figure 4.15: Flow-Rate-Density Relationship with Dynamic PCE for Weekday's Site
1
Figure 4.16: Speed - Density Relationshipwith Dynamic PCE for Weekday's Site 1.
90
Figure 4.17: Flow-Rate-Density Relationship with Dynamic PCE for Weekday's Site
291
Figure 4.18: Speed -Density Relationship with Dynamic PCE for Weekday's Site 2.
91
Figure 4.19: Flow-Rate - Density Relationship with Dynamic PCE for Weekend's
Site 1
Figure 4.20: Speed - Density Relationship with Dynamic PCE for Weekend Site1. 92
Figure 4.21: Flow-Rate - Density Relationship with Dynamic PCE for Weekend's
Site 2
Figure 4.22: Speed - Density Relationship with Dynamic PCE for Weekend Site 2. 93

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 3.1: Automatic Traffic Counter Classifier Equipment.	. 53
Plate 3.2:Road Tubes Layout in Progress	. 54

Appendix A: Civil Twilight Timing

Appendix B: Weekdays observed macroscopic traffic data with static PCE.

Appendix C: observed Headway

Appendix D: Weekdays observed macroscopic traffic data with Dynamic PCE.

Appendix E: Weekends macroscopic traffic data.

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

ADTAnnual Daily Traffic

ATC Automatic Traffic Counter

ART Articulated Rigid Trunk

AUSTROAD Australian Association of State and Federal Road Authority

CRRL Central Road Research Institute India

CBD Central Business District

DRT Double Rigid Trunk

FD Fundamental Diagram

FHWA Federal Highway Authority

FMW Federal Ministry of Works

FRSC Federal Road Safety Corp

GVW Gross Vehicle Weight

HCM Highway Capacity Manual

HGV Heavy Goods Vehicle

LGV Light Goods Vehicle

LOS Level of Service

MFD Macroscopic Fundamental Diagram

MTE Metro count Traffic Executive

MCSetupMetro count Setup

MCReportMetro count Report

NAASRA National Association of Australian State Road Authority

N-HDM Nigeria Highway Design Manual

PC Passenger Car

PCE Passenger Car Equivalent

PCU Passenger Car Unit

RSU Road Side Unit

SMS Space Mean Speed

TRB Transportation Research Board

TMS Time Mean Speed

TRRL Transport and Road Research Laboratory

TRT Triple Rigid Trunk

YOA Yaminu Ojeifo Abdullahi

ABSTRACT

The study aimed at highway capacity prediction for two-lane two-way Yankaba-Tokarawa road segment of Kano-Hadejia road in Nigeria. Traffic data was collected with an automatic traffic counter installed on the road location. Based on the collected data, the traffic was evaluated for weekdays and weekend traffic, and the traffic streams were classified into three categories, namely cars, light goods vehicles (LGVs) and heavy goods vehicles (HGVs). The total traffic observed was estimated to be 477,620 vehicles according to the day light traffic. Cars dominated the traffic stream with a share of 93%, LGVs and HGVs shared 5% and 2% respectively. The ADT for the road section was 8,684vehicles per day. Traffic volume were converted to flow rate in PCE/hr using the static PCE values of Car =1.0 and LGVs and HGVs =3.0 adopted from Federal Ministry of Works design manual and dynamic PCE values of Cars = 1.0, LGVs = 1.82 and HGVs = 2.44 obtained from the study. Comparison was made on the basis of traffic flow characteristics at capacity. The state of traffic for site 1 was 362 veh/hr, 55.26km/hr and 7 veh/kmrepresenting observed volume, speed and density respectively. Site-2 traffic statewas;306veh/hr,63.43km/hr, and6 veh/km for the volume, speed and density respectively. The predicted capacity states for the two sites are Site-1: 2,025PCE/hr, 31.78km/hr and 33 veh/km. For Site-2, the predicted capacity state was 1,911 PCE/hr, 27.7km/hr, and 34veh/km using the static PCE. The traffic state for the predicted capacity using the dynamic PCE values were: Site-1: 1,734PCE/hr, 26.23km/hr and 33 veh/km. For Site-2, the predicted capacity state was; 1,367PCE/hr, 22.02km/hr and 24 veh/km respectively. The capacity values obtained from the study for both static and dynamic conditions were however lower than the TRB (2010) value of 3,200 PCE/hr for two-lane rural road. This means that capacity of 3,200 PCE/hr in HCM, TRB (2010) may be consider as conservative. The variation between the HCM capacity values and the study values could be linked to traffic compositions, decrease in number of heavy vehicles in the traffic stream, and influence of heavy vehicles large headways in the traffic mix which make them less interactive with cars. The PCE values computed in the study for the three traffic categories of PC, LGV and HGV are 1.0; 1.82 and 2.44 respectively. This is at variance with the values in the highway design manual of Nigeria, suggesting that PCE values should not be applied without regard to traffic situations. Further research on PCE value and capacity for different flow regimes is suggested.

